

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

Case Number: 2023-1497 (Lead), 23-1498, 23-1499, 23-1500

Short Case Caption: Telit Cinterion Deutschland GmbH v. 3G Licensing, S.A

Party Name(s): TELIT CINTERION DEUTSCHLAND GMBH

INFORMATION: The court uses this form to determine whether and when to schedule cases for oral argument. Arguing counsel may be changed later, but a motion to reschedule is required once the court schedules argument. Please plan in advance to adhere to the limit on the number of arguing counsel in Fed. Cir. R. 34(e).

Argument Waiver My party intends to waive oral argument.

NOTE: Filers checking this box must still complete the below sections. **The court may still schedule this case for oral argument even if any party intends to waive argument.** If scheduled, parties may still elect to waive argument using the response to notice of oral argument form.

Other Parties Representing Interests

Counsel for another party will represent my party's interests at oral argument

NOTE: If this box is checked, skip the remaining sections. Any argument date will be selected based on conflict dates for counsel arguing on behalf of your party.

Name of Expected Arguing Counsel	Guy Yonay
---	-----------

Dates Unavailable

Do you have dates of unavailability within the specific sessions identified by the court's Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts in your case?

Yes No

If yes, attach a separate sheet listing **up to ten dates** of unavailability and **include a statement showing good cause for each date**. Dates without good cause or that do not pertain to arguing counsel (e.g., client conflicts) will not be accepted. The court will only accept dates for one counsel and only if that counsel has filed an entry of appearance. The Clerk's Office will evaluate and note accepted or rejected conflict dates; counsel may contact the Clerk's Office about re-filing if dates are rejected. *See Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.*

FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32
March 2023

Potential Case Conflicts

Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?

Yes No

If yes, attach a separate sheet listing those cases.

I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.

Date: 10/18/2024

Signature: /s/ Guy Yonay

Name: Guy Yonay

Case Name: Telit Cinterion Deutschland GmbH v. 3G Licensing, S.A.

Case Number: 2023-1497

[Revised] Attachment to Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

I, Guy Yonay, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct:

1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer Baratz LLP, of counsel of record for Appellant TELIT CINTERION DEUTSCHLAND GMBH, f.d.b.a. Thales DIS AIS Deutschland GmbH, and expect to serve as arguing counsel in the case.
2. I will be unavailable on the following dates due to previously planned vacations and family obligations:
 - December 2-5, 2024
 - February 3-7, and 10, 2025

October 18, 2024

Guy Yonay
PEARL COHEN
ZEDEK LATZER BARATZ LLP
7 Times Square, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10036
646.878.0800
E-mail: GYonay@PearlCohen.com
Counsel for Appellant
Telit Cinterion Deutschland GmbH